

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(204)

CWP-12697-2017

Date of Decision : January 25, 2024

Dinesh Saini**.. Petitioner****Versus****State of Haryana and others****.. Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI**

Present: Mr. Varun Suman, Advocate, for
Mr. Rajat Gautam, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

1. In the present writ petition, the grievance of the petitioner is that though he competed for the post of Junior Coach Fencing under the reserved category of BCB wherein, two posts were reserved but the petitioner has been declared ineligible to compete for the same and that action of the respondents is totally arbitrary and illegal.

2. Upon notice of motion, the respondents have filed the reply wherein, the respondents have stated that the petitioner was not eligible as the petitioner did not have the requisite qualification prescribed for the post in question.

3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that on the last date of hearing, the petitioner did not have a valid diploma to be eligible for the post in question as the petitioner was still pursuing the said qualification

hence, as the petitioner did not have requisite qualification to be eligible on the last date, the eligibility being claimed by the petitioner is incorrect.

4. There is no replication to the said written statement.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record with their able assistance.

6. It is a conceded position that the essential qualification required to compete for the post of Junior Coach Fencing, which were to be fulfilled upto the last date of application form, were not being possessed by the petitioner.

7. Learned counsel for the petitioner very fairly conceded that on the last date of the application form, the petitioner was still pursuing the course, which is part of relevant diploma for coaching.

8. Keeping in view the said conceded fact, no interference is called for by this Court as concededly the petitioner was ineligible to compete for the post in question as he was not possessing the qualification required to be eligible for the post in question upto the last date of application form.

9. No ground is made out for any interference by this Court in the present writ petition.

10. Dismissed.

January 25, 2024

harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No