



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

295

CRM-M-30506-2024

Date of Decision : **August 29, 2024**

AJAY KUMAR @ MOHNI

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Mr. Dharamvir Dhindsa, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajesh Gaur, Addl.AG, Haryana.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (Oral)

1. On 25.6.2024, this Court had passed the hereinafter extracted order, upon the instant petition:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that all the offences involved in the present case are bailable except Section 506 IPC and the nature of injuries attributed upon the petitioner is with sharp weapon on the person of the complainant, which is simple in nature. He is ready to join investigation. The co-accused have been granted the concession of prearrest bail vide orders (Annexures P-2 to P-4), as detailed in the petition at para Nos.11 to 13. It is further submitted that petitioner is involved in three other cases, however, he has been granted bail in all the cases, which are detailed in the petition at para No.14 and prayed for grant of interim bail.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Ms. Safia Gupta, AAG, accepts notice on behalf of State of Haryana and prays for time to seek necessary instructions and to file reply/status report.

Adjourned to 29.8.2024.

Keeping in view the totality and nature of offences, in case of arrest, the petitioner is directed to be released on interim bail by the Investigating Officer/Arresting Officer subject to his own satisfaction, till the next date of hearing. The petitioner should join investigation with the police well in time before the next date of hearing and to abide by the conditions envisaged under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

The report of the Investigating Officer be also called in this context on the next date of hearing.”

2. Today, the learned State counsel has, on instructions imparted to him by the official concerned, stated that pursuant to the making of the hereinabove extracted order, the petitioner(s) had joined investigation and he is no longer required for further custodial interrogation.

3. In view of the above, the hereinabove extracted interim order dated 25.6.2024, is hereby made **absolute**, subject to the hereinafter extracted conditions:-

“(i) the petitioner(s) shall not commit an offence similar to the present offence;

(ii) the petitioner(s) shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case;

(iii) the petitioner(s) shall make himself/herself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required.”

4. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner(s) indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

5. Needless to say that anything observed hereinabove shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

August 29, 2024
ajay-1

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No
Whether Reportable. : Yes/No