

2024:PHHC:065549-DB



109 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.

CWP-16112-2016
Date of Decision : 10.05.2024

HARWATIPetitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERSRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUKHVINDER KAUR

Present : Mr. Rose Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ankur Mittal, Addl. A.G., Haryana with
Mr. Saurabh Mago, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Ankur Mittal, Advocate with
Ms. Kushaldeep K. Manchanda, Advocate and
Ms. Saanvi Singla, Advocate
Mr. Siddhanth Arora, Advocate
for respondent – HSIIDC.

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (Oral)

1. The instant writ petition became remanded by the Hon'ble Supreme Court vide order dated 29.02.2024, upon, Civil Appeal No.3733 of 2024. The operative part of the said order is extracted hereinafter.

“ xxxx. In such view of the matter, the appeal stands allowed. We make it clear that it is well open to the parties to bring to the notice of the Court the subsequent developments.

Accordingly, the impugned order stands set aside and the matter is remitted back to the High Court to be decided on the issues other than the one covering the field in Indore Development Authority (supra).

No costs...”

2. After arguing for some time, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks leave to withdraw the instant writ petition.

2024:PHHC:065549-DB



- 3. Leave granted.
- 4. Consequently, the extant writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn but with a direction to the respondent concerned, to most expeditiously finalize the allotment process under the R & R policy but subject to apposite compliances being made by the petitioner, to all the relevant terms and conditions, if not already made.

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE

(SUKHVINDER KAUR)
JUDGE

10.05.2024

kavneet singh

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No