

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

113

CRWP-5930-2024  
Date of Decision: 25.06.2024

HARBANS SINGH AND OTHERS

... Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE RITU TAGORE

Present: Mr. Bhavdeep Singh Mamli, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

\*\*\*\*\*

RITU TAGORE, J.(Oral)

1. Prayer in the present petition filed under Article 226 of Constitution of India read with Section 482 Cr.P.C. to issue directions to respondent No.1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners from the hand of private respondents No.4 and 5.
2. It is averred by the petitioner that Shubham (respondent No.4) lodged an FIR No.195 dated 26.05.2024 under Sections 148, 149, 323, 325, 308 and 506 IPC against the Gursewak, their son and others, for causing injuries to him and his friend Amanpreet (respondent No.5) on 24.05.2024. It is further stated by the petitioners that their son Gursewak fell in bad company and was not in the asking of the petitioner, as such the petitioners disowned their son. Petitioner No.1 also executed an affidavit dated 27.05.2024 (Annexure P-2) in this regard and also published the same in newspaper Amar Ujala dated 28.05.2024 (Annexure P-3). They also

told the police that they are not having any contact with their son and as such his whereabouts are not known. It is alleged that the Police is harassing them and even private respondents No.4 and 5 enters upon their house at odd times and threatened to finish them. It is thus prayed that they apprehend threat to their life and liberty and also moved the representation dated 19.06.2024 (Annexure P-4) in this regard.

3. Notice of motion.
4. Mr. Pawan Kumar Jhanda, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of State of Haryana. He submits that the concerned authority will look into the matter and take decision on the representation, if any, of the petitioners in accordance with law.
5. In view of above, without going into the merits of the case, this petition is hereby disposed of with direction to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, District Yamuna Nagar to consider representation dated 19.06.2024 (Annexure P-4) and to take remedial measures to protect life and liberty of petitioners if so warranted, in accordance with law.
6. It is further made clear that if the petitioners are otherwise found to be involved in any other case, in such an eventuality, this order shall not preclude the competent authority from taking appropriate action against the petitioners, that law permits.

(RITU TAGORE)  
JUDGE

25.06.2024  
Rimpal

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No  
Whether reportable : Yes/No