

2024:PHHC:080598



(111) **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-5918-2024
Date of Decision: 26.06.2024

Harpreet KaurPetitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and othersRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Petitioner in person along with her counsel
Mr. Atamjit Singh Thind, Advocate.

Mr. Aman Dhir, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Chahat Goyal, Advocate,
for respondent No.4.

HARKESH MANUJA, J. (Oral)

1. By way of present writ petition filed under Articles 226 and 227 of the Constitution of India, a prayer has been made for issuance of direction to official respondents to provide police protection to the petitioner and for directing the private respondents not to interfere in her life and liberty in any manner by using force on her or forcing her to marry against her wish.

2. Petitioner is present in Court besides, respondent No.4 (father of the petitioner).

With the assistance of both the respective counsels, the parties were granted an opportunity to interact and upon conclusion of the aforesaid process, no fruitful result came out.

3. At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that instead of pressing the present petition on merits, his client would be satisfied in case direction is issued to the official respondents to decide representation dated 18.06.2024 (Annexure P-3) moved at the instance of petitioner.

4. Prayer seems to be justified.

5. Learned State counsel raises no objection to the innocuous prayer made on behalf of the petitioner.

6. In view of the agreed stand taken by both sides, but without going into the merits of the controversy, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, SAS Nagar (Mohali) to look into the representation dated 18.06.2024 (Annexure P-3) and take appropriate action in accordance with law, within a period of 02 weeks from today.

26.06.2024
sonika

(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: yes/no
Whether reportable? yes/no