



113

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-5861-2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 24.06.2024

SHRUTI AND ANOTHER

.. Petitioners

Vs.

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: Mr. Beer Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.

...

SUMEET GOEL, J. (Oral)

1. By way of present petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, petitioners are seeking issuance of directions to the official respondents to protect their life and liberty at the hands of respondent Nos.4 to 6.
2. Notice of motion to respondent Nos. 1 to 3 (i.e. official respondents).
3. On the asking of the Court, Ms. Dimple Jain, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents.
4. As per the petitioners, they have married on 19.06.2024 against the wishes of respondent Nos. 4 to 6, who happen to be the family members/relative of the petitioners.
5. Both the petitioners have claimed themselves to be major relying upon their Aadhar Cards indicating that date of birth of petitioner No.1-Shruti is 19.12.1999 and petitioner No.2-Ankush Channa is 28.11.1991.



6. Without commenting on the legality and validity of the marriage as also the merits of contentions raised in the petition, I deem it appropriate to direct Deputy Commissioner of Police, Panchkula to look into the representation dated 19.06.2024 (Annexure P-5) stated to have been submitted by the petitioners & pass appropriate orders thereupon within two weeks from today.
7. Keeping in view the fact that both the petitioners claim to have married against the wishes of their family(s), I direct that if possible, Deputy Commissioner of Police, Panchkula may call a meeting of the petitioners as well as the parents of both the petitioners and their other concerned family members in his/her presence so that the parties may endeavour to resolve their dispute(s) and live amicably.
8. Liberty is reserved in favour of private respondents to seek recall of this order on showing sufficient cause.
9. However, if the petitioners are found to have been involved in any other case, then this order shall not preclude the competent authority from taking lawful action against the petitioners.
10. The petition stands disposed off. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

24.06.2024

Jasmine Kaur

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes	No
Yes	No