



254 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-18435-2021 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 28-11-2024

JAGTAR SINGH

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: None for the petitioner.

Ms. Shruti, AAG Punjab.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)

In the present petition, the claim of the petitioner is that the petitioner was working as a contractual employee but the respondents have again issued an advertisement so as to replace the petitioner.

Upon notice of motion, the respondents have filed their reply. In the reply, the respondents have stated that the engagement of the petitioner had already come to an end much before the issuance of the appointment order and further the petitioner is not an Ex-Serviceman whereas, the post is to be filled by an Ex-Serviceman and it has wrongly been mentioned that the petitioner is an Ex-Serviceman.

Probably, keeping in view the reply filed, the petitioner is satisfied since nobody has appeared on behalf of the petitioner to press the present petition.

Hence, the present petition stands dismissed for non-prosecution.

Pending application, if any, also stands disposed of.

28-11-2024
Sapna Goyal

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

NOTE:

Whether speaking: YES/NO
Whether reportable: YES/NO