

2024:PHHC:080450



114 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRWP-5883-2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 24.06.2024

Axxx and anotherPetitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and othersRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Ms. Rosi, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

HARKESH MANUJA, J. (Oral)

CRM-W-783-2024

Exemption application is allowed as prayed for.

Main case

1. By way of present writ petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, a prayer has been made for issuance of direction to respondents No.3 and 4 to provide adequate security and protection to the life and liberty of the petitioners, who are apprehending threat to their life from respondents No.5 to 8.
2. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that instead of pressing the present petition on merits, her clients would be satisfied in case direction is issued to the official respondents to decide representation dated 15.06.2024 (Annexure P-2) moved at the instance of

petitioners.

- 3. Prayer seems to be justified.
- 4. Upon advance notice, Mr. Gurmeet Singh, AAG, Haryana appears on behalf of respondents-State and raises no objection to the innocuous prayer made on behalf of the petitioners. Requisite copies of the petition have already been supplied to the respondents-State by the learned counsel for the petitioners.
- 5. In view of the agreed stand taken by both sides, but without going into the merits of the controversy, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3-Superintendent of Police, District Nuh to look into the representation dated 15.06.2024 (Annexure P-2) and take appropriate action in accordance with law, within a period of 02 weeks from today.

24.06.2024
sonika

(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: yes/no

Whether reportable? yes/no