



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-5838-2024

Date of decision : 21.06.2024

Ekam Singh and another

.....Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present :- Mr. Vinay Puri, Advocate
for the petitioners.

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

Prayer in this petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India is for issuance of directions to respondents No.1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners from respondents No.4 to 6. Further prayer has been made for directing respondents No.2 & 3 to ensure the security of the life and liberty of the petitioners which is at imminent danger at the hands of respondents No.4 to 6 and also to directing respondents No.4 to 6 not to interfere in the peaceful married life of the petitioners and not to raid illegally in the house of the petitioners along with police authorities.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that both the petitioners are major and have married against the wishes of respondents No.4 to 6 and have sought protection to their life and liberty. They apprehend danger from respondents No.4 to 6. Their marriage certificate and photographs evidencing their marriage are on record as Annexures P-3 and P-4. The petitioners have submitted a representation dated 19.06.2024 (Annexure P-5) to respondent no.2.



Notice of motion to respondents no.1 to 3-State only.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Rajeev Takkar, D.A.G., Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, I dispose of the petition with a direction to respondent No.2-Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar to decide the representation dated 19.06.2024 (Annexure P-5) of the petitioners and grant them protection, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived. It is clarified that this order shall not be taken to grant immunity to the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them. This order would not be understood having expressed any opinion whatsoever by this Court on the validity of the marriage of the petitioners.

Disposed of.

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

21.06.2024
ps-I

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No