

2024:PHHC:089832



**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH**

113

CWP-14616-2024
Date of Decision: 18.07.2024

SUDARSHANA BATRA

... Petitioner

VERSUS

**DISTRICT CONSUMER DISPUTES REDRESSAL
COMMISSION, FARIDABAD AND ORS.**

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ.

Present: Mr. B.S. Aulakh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in the present petition is for directing respondent No.1- District Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission, Faridabad to decide the Execution Petition No.79 of 2023 in a time bound manner and in conformity with the High Court Rules and orders and various judgments passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

Learned Counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner had approached respondent No.1 by way of CC No.529 of 2021, which was decided on 21.03.2023 in his favour. He fought upto Supreme Court for seeking relief qua interest part, but all the Courts kept it in the same way as it was in the original order dated 21.03.2023. Thereafter, the petitioner preferred an Execution Application bearing No.EA-79 of 2023 for seeking implementation

**CWP-14616-2024****-2-**

of order dated 21.03.2023 passed in CC-529 of 2021. However, the implementation of the order is being lingered on by respondent No.1 on one pretext or the other.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Vivek Saini, Advocate accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.2 to 4 and submit that sole prayer of the petitioner is for directing respondent No.1 to decide the Execution Petition No.79 of 2023 in a time bound manner and that no relief has been claimed in the present petition against respondents No.2 to 4. He further submits that respondents No.2 to 4 are duty bound to comply with the orders/directions as may be issued by respondent No.1 and that they will do the needful as soon as they would receive any sort of orders/directions from respondent No.1- District Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission, Faridabad.

Heard.

On the last date of hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner had sought some time to adduce the zimni orders that have been passed by the Executing Court.

Today on resumed hearing, the counsel for the petitioner has produced in Court the copies of the zimni orders passed by the Executing Court. The same have been perused and returned to the counsel for the petitioner. From the perusal of the same, it is apparent that the petitioner has not been seeking unnecessary adjournments before the Executing Court. In this view of the matter, I deem it appropriate to dispose of the present writ petition while directing respondent No.1- District Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission,



CWP-14616-2024

-3-

Faridabad to decide the Execution Application No.79 of 2023 as expeditiously as possible and preferably within a period of four months from the date next fixed before it.

Disposed of accordingly.

JULY 18, 2024
rajender

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No