



CRM-M No.30416 of 2024(O&M)

-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH*Sr. No. 217*

CRM-M No.30416 of 2024(O&M)

Date of Decision: 04.07.2024

Sunil alias Sonu

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present:- Mr. Anuj Kumar, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Raj Bir Singh, D.A.G, Haryana.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

By way of this petition filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C, the petitioner prays for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.252, dated 08.07.2023, registered under Sections 307, 34 IPC and Section 25 (later on added Sections 27 & 30) of the Arms Act, 1959, at Police Station Sadar Sonipat, District Sonipat.

This is the second petition. The earlier petition bearing CRM-M-61188-2023 was dismissed as withdrawn on 26.02.2024.

Learned counsel contends that after dismissal of the earlier petition, the statement of the injured witness namely Shamsher @ Sheru besides statements of other witnesses namely Ankit son of Rampal and Sunil son of Ranbir have been recorded (Annexures P-2 to P-4). He also



CRM-M No.30416 of 2024(O&M)

-2-

contends that similarly situated co-accused Umesh @ Bablu @ Joni has been granted regular bail by this Court vide order dated 29.05.2024 (Annexure P-5)

Notice of motion.

Mr. Raj Bir Singh, D.A.G, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent. He could not refute the contention that the case of the petitioner is at parity with co-accused Umesh @ Bablu @ Joni, who was allowed bail by this Court on 29.05.2024.

Apart from above, this Court notices on perusal of Annexures P-2 to P-4 that it has been specifically testified by the injured and the other witnesses that it is Sachin, who took out the revolver from his pants and then fired towards Shamsher @ Sheru. The witness had specifically deposed that Sunil (petitioner) and Umesh (co-accused) were not present and were not involved in any manner.

Heard.

The petitioner is in custody since 26.08.2023 as was noticed by the Additional Sessions Judge, Sonipat. The trial is likely to take long time to conclude.

Considering all the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case and also on the basis of parity, but without commenting anything on the merits of the case, the petitioner is admitted to bail. He is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing requisite bail bonds and surety bonds to



CRM-M No.30416 of 2024(O&M)

-3-

the satisfaction of learned Trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned on usual terms and conditions.

Allowed.

04.07.2024
monika

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No
Whether reportable Yes/No