

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

102

CWP No.10562 of 2018
Date of Decision : 15.1.2024

Sushila and others

..... *Petitioners*

versus

State of Haryana and others

..... *Respondents*

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present: Mr. Aditya Singh, Advocate, for
Mr. S.K. Malik, Advocate, for the petitioners

Mr. Sanjeev Kaushik, Addl. AG, Haryana

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA J. (ORAL):

In view of the law settled vide judgment dated 28.9.2021 passed in *Malook Singh and others v. State of Punjab*, and in *State of Haryana and others v. Vijay Singh and others*, (2012) 8 SCC 633, that period of contract service cannot be counted as regular satisfactory service for the purpose of seniority or grant of benefit under ACP Scheme, the petition is not maintainable.

Dismissed.

**(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE**

15.1.2024

Ashwani

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No