



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

RANDIP KAURPetitioner
VERSUS
STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORSRespondent(s)

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)

Learned State counsel submits that after the District Child Welfare Council was taken over by the State, there was no record that the petitioner was working as a Creche Worker as, the respondent No.6 was working on the said post hence, no benefit of joining was allowed in favour of the petitioner.

Faced with this situation, the learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner can still be accommodated in the said Council after the same was taken over as number of posts are lying vacant.

Learned State counsel submits that in case any representation is received at the hands of the petitioner for adjustment in the Council, appropriate decision will be taken within a period of 8 weeks from the receipt of copy of any such representation and in case, it is found feasible to accept the claim of the petitioner, the same will be accepted, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned for not accepting the claim of the petitioner in the speaking order to be passed and the said order will be duly conveyed to the petitioners.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty as prayed for.

Ordered accordingly.

Pending application, if any, also stands disposed of.

18-11-2024
Sapna Goyal

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

NOTE: Whether speaking: YES/NO
Whether reportable: YES/NO