

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(210)

CWP No. 10521 of 2018
Date of Decision : 06.02.2024

Suresh Kumar and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Naveen Daryal, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. In the present petition, the claim of the petitioners is for the grant of benefit of revised pay scale along with increment as admissible under the rules governing the service, which was to be given w.e.f. 01.01.2006.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that though in the reply, the respondents have illustrated by way of chart stating that benefits admissible to the petitioners have already been granted to them but nothing has come on record to show that the increment, which has been extended to the petitioners, is equivalent to 3% as admissible under the ACP Rules.
3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that once an increment has already been granted to the petitioners, which has been mentioned in the reply, the same is in accordance with the admissibility and

nothing has come on record from the petitioners to show that the same was less than 3%.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that let the present petition be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to the petitioners to approach the respondents to present the evidence that the increment which was granted to them while fixing their pay as on 01.01.2006, was less than 3%.

5. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case, any such representation is filed by the petitioners, the same will be examined keeping in view the evidence, which will be placed on record before the authorities concerned coupled with the rules governing the aspect of grant of increment and appropriate order will be passed within a period of eight weeks of the receipt of any such representation.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

7. Ordered accordingly.

February 6nd, 2024
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No