

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

221

CWP-158-2016 (O&M)
Date of decision: 22.03.2024

Ravi SharmaPetitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and othersRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present: Mr. Hitesh Sood, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sawapan Shorey, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Brijeshwar Singh Kanwar, Advocate
for respondent No. 7.

Mr. Karan Singh Gill, Advocate
for respondent No. 8.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J.(ORAL)

1. The present Civil Writ Petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ, in the nature of Certiorari, for quashing the order dated 08.10.2015.
2. Learned counsel at the outset submits that during the pendency of this case, the petitioner has been given appointment vide letter dated 01.09.2017, Annexure R-4/1, therefore the present petition may be disposed of. However, for grant of notional benefits as have been granted to similarly circumstanced Baljit Grover and Ramesh Kumar, he on instructions submits that the petitioner would file a representation, which may be directed to be decided in a time bound manner by granting him an opportunity of hearing.
3. Learned counsel for the respondents have no objection to the limited

prayer made.

4. The substantial relief having been granted, renders the present petition infructuous. However, for the ancillary prayer, in case a representation is submitted in four weeks, the same be decided expeditiously.

5. Disposed of.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

22.03.2024

Rajeev (rvs)

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No