

202

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

2024:PHHC:003850
CWP-17389-2014
Date of Decision: 12.01.2024

Krishan Kumar @ Krishan Lal

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA

Present Mr. Gurnam Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Vishnav Gandhi, DAG, Punjab.

SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA, J.(Oral)

1. Neither the petitioner’s counsel nor the counsel for the State are able to inform about the present status of the petitioner and whether is in employment and getting any salary.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that he does not have any instructions as he could not able to contact the petitioner.
3. Dismissed for want of prosecution.
4. Dismissed as withdrawn.
5. All pending misc. application(s) also stand disposed of.

(SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA)
JUDGE

12.01.2024
rajesh

1. Whether speaking/reasoned? : Yes/No
2. Whether reportable? : Yes/No