



CRM-M-30230-2024

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

211

CRM-M-30230-2024 (O&M)

Date of decision: 24.07.2024

Jagtar Singh

...Petitioner

V/s

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: Mr. Vikram Preet Arora, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Yuvraj Singh, AAG Punjab.
Mr. Puneet Bhushan, Advocate
for respondent No.2-complainant.

SUMEET GOEL, J. (Oral)

1. Present petition has been filed under Section 438 of Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for short ‘Cr.P.C.’) for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.79 dated 07.05.2024, under Sections 323/498-A/506 of IPC registered at Police Station Mandi Gobindgarh, District Fatehgarh Sahib.
2. On 03.07.2024 the following order was passed:-

Apprehending his arrest in FIR No. 79 dated 07.05.2024 registered for offences punishable under Sections 323/498-A/506 IPC, at Police Station Mandi Gobindgarh, district Fatehgarh Sahib; the petitioner has preferred this petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. seeking pre-arrest bail.

Inter alia contends that the FIR in question arises out of matrimonial discord which has now been settled between the petitioner and the complainant-wife & petitioner is ready to join investigation and cooperate with the investigating agency.

Learned counsel appearing for the complainant has ratified the aspect for the matter having been settled.

Adjourned to 24.07.2024.

The petitioner is directed to appear before the Investigating Officer on 06.07.2024 at 11:00 A.M. in concerned Police Station and join investigation. In the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released



on interim bail subject to his furnishing personal/surety bond(s) to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. As and when further called by Investigating Officer, the petitioner shall join the investigation. He shall abide by the condition(s) enumerated under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.”

3. Learned State counsel has stated that pursuant to the order dated 03.07.2024, the petitioner has joined investigation and is no longer required for custodial interrogation.
4. Learned counsel for the complainant has again ratified the aspect of amicable settlement between the rival parties.
5. In view of the above, the interim order dated 03.07.2024 passed by this Court is made absolute, subject to the conditions as enumerated under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.
6. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned ibid and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.
7. Liberty is reserved in favour of State/complainant to move for cancellation/recall of this order in case the petitioner violates any condition stipulated under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C., 1973 or upon showing any other sufficient cause.
8. Needless to say that anything observed herein above shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

July 24, 2024
Ajay