

250

THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.16995 of 2022
Date of Decision: 14.02.2024

Sandeep

..... Petitioner

Versus

The Deputy Commissioner, Bhiwani and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present:- Mr. Sandeep Kotla, Advocate for
Mr. Ashwani Gaur, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajesh Gaur, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Ajay Vijasania, Advocate
for respondent No.3.

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)

Present writ petition has been filed for directing the Deputy Commissioner/respondent No.1 to make an inquiry as to why the construction of Hall/room near the place of remembrance (Samark) was not undertaken by the Gram Panchayat/respondent No.2 despite sanctioning and receipt of the financial aid/funds for the said purpose. Further prayer has been made for directing the respondents to construct the Hall/room near the place of remembrance (samark) as per the official communication dated 14.06.2017 (Annexure P-3).

Learned counsel for the State has submitted that for the redressal of his grievance, if the petitioner files representation before

respondent No.1, then respondent No.1 would decide the same expeditiously.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner be granted liberty to file a fresh representation before respondent No.1 i.e. the Deputy Commissioner, Bhiwani and he be directed to decide the same in a time bound manner.

After hearing both the parties and perusing the record, the present petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to file his appropriate representation before respondent No.1 as prayed for within a period of ten days from today. If any such representation is filed by the petitioner within the stipulated period, then respondent No.1 i.e. the Deputy Commissioner, Bhiwani is directed to decide the same in accordance with law within a period of four weeks from the date of its filing.

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

14.02.2024

rittu

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No