



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

109

CRM-M-30652-2024

Date of decision: 04.07.2024

NIRMAL SINGH @ TITU

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Mr. Naveen Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pardeep Bajaj, DAG, Punjab.

KULDEEP TIWARI. J.(Oral)

1. Through the instant petition, as instituted under Section 438 of the Cr.P.C., the petitioner has sought the concession of his being enlarged on anticipatory bail, in case FIR No.15 dated 10.02.2024, under Sections 323, 324, 341, 379-B and 34 of IPC (offence under Section 379 of IPC was deleted, and Section 326 of IPC was added later on), registered at Police Station Lambi, District Sri Muktsar Sahib.
2. Considering the allegations against the petitioner, that he has caused grievous injuries, when this Court was not inclined to grant the relief (supra), then the learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that he may be permitted to withdraw the instant petition. However, he further submitted that the petitioner is ready and willing to surrender before the learned trial Court concerned. He further sought direction upon the learned trial Court concerned, that in case the petitioner surrenders, and makes an application for grant of regular bail, before the learned

trial Court concerned, the same may be decided in a time bound manner.

3. The instant petition is **dismissed** as withdrawn. However, in case the petitioner surrenders before the learned trial Court concerned within 10 days from today, and files an application for grant of regular bail before the learned trial Court concerned, the latter shall after giving adequate opportunity of hearing to the parties concerned, make an endeavor to decide the bail application, preferably within 04 days.

04.07.2024		(KULDEEP TIWARI)
amandeep		JUDGE
	Whether speaking/reasoned.	: Yes/No
	Whether Reportable.	: Yes/No