

COCN No.2346 of 2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 30.04.2024

TARSEM AND ORS

.....Petitioners

Versus

K.K.YADAV AND ANR

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJBIR SEHRAWAT

Present: Mr. K.L. Arora, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Gaurav Mohunta, Advocate and
Mr. Satyendra Kumar, Advocate for the respondents.

RAJBIR SEHRAWAT, J. (ORAL)

CM-12294-CII-2023

This is an application for placing on record the affidavit of the petitioner No.1-Tarsem.

For the reasons mentioned in the application, the same is allowed. Affidavit of petitioner No.1-Tarsem is taken on record, subject to all just exceptions.

Main Case

This is a contempt petition filed under Sections 11/12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, for initiating contempt proceedings against the respondents for violating the order dated 20.12.2018 passed in CWP-19932-2014.

Reply by way of affidavit of Ms. Shalini Chetal, Joint Commissioner, MC, is already on record. As per the said affidavit, learned counsel for the respondents has submitted that the order dated 20.12.2018 passed in CWP-19932-2014 has since been complied with and the petitioners have been put at par with their juniors, arrears have been paid

and the interest in terms of the order passed by the Writ Court have also been paid. Not only that, the eligible petitioners have also been granted the ACP scales as per the order, and the necessary payments have already been made to the petitioners. The above said aspects of learned counsel for the respondents are duly supported by the documents attached with the reply.

In view of the above, this Court does not find any reason to continue with the present petition any more.

Dismissed.

However, if any grievance of the petitioners is left unredressed, the petitioners would be at liberty to raise the same in any other alternate remedy, but in accordance with law.

(RAJBIR SEHRAWAT)
JUDGE

30.04.2024
sandeep

Whether Speaking/Reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No