



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-5775-2024

Date of decision : 20.06.2024

Kajal and another

.....Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present :- Mr. Nasir Jamal, Advocate
for the petitioners (through V.C.).

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

Prayer in the present petition is for directing respondents No.2 to 4 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner from the hands of private respondents No.5 to 10 and for not harassing or interfering in the peaceful life of the petitioners. Further prayer has been made for directing respondents No.1 to 4 to provide protection to the life and liberty of the petitioners from the hands of respondents No.5 to 10.

It has been contended by learned counsel for the petitioners that though the petitioner No.1 was earlier married, however, she was divorced by her earlier husband. He submits that both the petitioners are governed by the provisions of Mohammedan Law. He submits that both the petitioners are major and are living in live-in-relationship against the wishes of private respondents and have sought protection to their life and liberty. They apprehend danger from the private respondents. The petitioners have submitted a representation dated 13.06.2024 (Annexure P-3) to respondent No.3.

Notice of motion to respondents no.1 to 4-State only.



On the asking of the Court, Mr. Vishal Kashyap, DAG,
Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the State.

Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, I dispose of the petition with a direction to respondent No.3-Superintendent of Police, Nuh, Mewat, to decide the representation dated 13.06.2024 (Annexure P-3) of the petitioners and grant them protection, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived. It is clarified that this order shall not be taken to grant immunity to the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them. This order would not be understood having expressed any opinion whatsoever by this Court on the validity of their live-in relationship.

Disposed of.

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

20.06.2024

ps-I

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No