



117

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-5793-2024 (O&M)
Date of decision: 20.06.2024

Archna Kumari and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of UT Chandigarh and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Arun Gupta, Advocate for
Mr. Tarun Dhingra, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Manish Bansal, PP, UT Chandigarh.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. This is a Criminal Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondent Nos.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondent Nos.4 to 6.
2. Learned Public Prosecutor appearing on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 3 has submitted that the statements of the petitioners have been recorded and in the said statements, they have stated that they have no threat perception in Chandigarh.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that in view of the same, the present petition has been rendered infructuous and same may kindly be disposed of as such but however prayed that in case there is



any threat perception in future then liberty be granted to the petitioners to file a fresh representation to respondent No.2 and in case of their doing so, respondent No.2 be directed to consider the same, in accordance with law.

4. Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances, the present Criminal Writ Petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous. Liberty is however granted to the petitioners to file a fresh representation to respondent No.2 in case there is any threat perception in future and in case of their doing so, respondent No.2 is requested to consider the same, in accordance with law.

5. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand disposed of in view of the abovesaid order.

20.06.2024
Pawan

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:-	Yes/No
Whether reportable:-	Yes/No