



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(213)

CWP No. 11900 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 12.12.2024

Sukhjinder Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI*

Present: Mr. Kapil Kakkar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Charanpreet Singh, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab.

Ms. Hargun Sandhu, Advocate for
Ms. Ekjot Sandhu, Advocate for respondent No. 3.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the qualifications which were prescribed in the Advertisement were not in consonance with the Rules governing the service, hence, the petitioner should have been treated eligible keeping in view the qualification which he possess in respect of the Advertisement dated 20.11.2015 (Annexure P-1).
2. Learned State counsel on the other hand submits that the similar question with regard to the same Advertisement as to which candidate is to be treated qualified, has already been decided by this Court while passing order in CWP No. 24031 of 2017 titled as *Amandeep Kaur Vs. State of Punjab and others*, decided on 19.11.2024 and the Advertisement has already been upheld.



3. Faced with this situation, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner intends to approach the State raising all the objections with regard to his eligibility and consequent selection and appointment, hence, respondents be directed to decide the same in a time bound manner.

4. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case, any representation is received at the hands of the petitioner, the same will be decided in accordance with law by passing an appropriate speaking order within a period of eight weeks of the receipt of any such representation and in case, it is found feasible to accept the claim of the petitioner, the same will be accepted, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned in the speaking order, which will be conveyed to the petitioner.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in view of the statement of learned counsel for the respondents, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

6. Ordered accordingly.

7. Pending miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

December 12, 2024
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No