

CWP No. 16404 of 2020 and other connected cases

2024:PHHC:010489

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH
(Sr. No. 217)

(1) CWP No. 16404 of 2020
Date of Decision : 25.01.2024

Kamil ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others ...Respondents

(2) CWP No. 16438 of 2020

Aman Kumar ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others ...Respondents

(3) CWP No. 19865 of 2020

Maya Ram ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others ...Respondents

(4) CWP No. 9903 of 2021

Bhagwanti ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others ...Respondents

(5) CWP No. 14060 of 2021

CWP No. 16404 of 2020 and other connected cases

2024:PHHC:010489

2

Tara Chand

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

(6)

CWP No. 20781 of 2021

Maya Ram

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. R.S. Mamli, Advocate for the petitioner(s) in all cases.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr. J.S. Maanipur, Advocate and
Mr. Joy Preet Meelu, Advocate for respondent No. 4
in CWP-9903-2021 and CWP-14060-2021.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. By this common order, a bunch of writ petitions, the details of which have been given in the heading, are being decided as all these petitions involve the same question of law on similar facts.
2. In the present petitions, the claim of the petitioner(s) is that they should be considered for regularization of their services with effect from the date candidates lower in merit have been regularized.

3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in the petitions, the details of the candidates, who were supposedly juniors to the petitioner(s) and had already been regularized in service, have not been given but in case, any representation is filed by the petitioner(s) giving the details of any employee, who is junior to the petitioner(s) but his services have already been regularized in preference to the petitioner(s), the claim of the petitioner(s) for regularization of their services with effect from the date the said junior employee's services have been regularized will be considered if the said claim is in accordance with Policy issued for regularization of their services and in case, the petitioner(s) fulfill the requisite of the regularization policy in question otherwise, detailed speaking order will be passed for the information and necessary action of the petitioners.

4. Learned counsel for the respondents further submits that appropriate speaking order as undertaken, will be passed within a period of eight weeks of the receipt of any such representation from the petitioner(s).

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner(s) submits that keeping in view the said statement of learned counsel for the respondents, the present petitions may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

6. Ordered accordingly.

CWP No. 16404 of 2020 and other connected cases

2024:PHHC:010489

4

7. It may be noticed that all the documents which the petitioner(s) will attach along with the representation be taken into account while passing the speaking order.

A photocopy of this order be placed on the files of connected cases.

January 25th, 2024
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No