



108+228 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CM-1126-CWP-2024 in/and
CWP No.10038 of 2018
Date of Decision : 19-11-2024

DAVINDER SINGH AND ORS
.....Petitioner(s)
VERSUS
STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS
.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. D.S Patwalia, Sr. Advocate with
 Ms. Kannan Malik , Advocate for the petitioners.

 Mr. Satnampreet Singh Chauhan, DAG Punjab.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)

CM-1126-CWP-2024

 This is an application for placing on record replication to the
written statement filed by the respondent Nos. 1 to 3.

 Keeping in view the contents mentioned in the application, the
same is allowed. Replication to the written statement filed by the respondent
Nos. 1 to 3 is taken on record subject to all just exceptions.

CWP No.10038 of 2018

 In the present petition, the grievance raised by the petitioners is
that the petitioners are working in the Technical Education and Industrial
Training Department, Punjab on the post of Craft Instructors and are praying
that they are entitled for the pay scale as admissible to the Vocational

Masters of the Education Department, Punjab who are employed in the Department of Education as, the duties performed by the petitioners as well as the Vocational Masters are identical.

Learned Senior counsel further submits that the Union of India has already recommended the equation for the post of the pay scale hence, the petitioners should also be granted the pay scale of Rs.10300-34800 along with Grade Pay of Rs.5400/- instead of Grade Pay of Rs.4600/-.

Learned counsel for the respondent submits that in case, any such claim on the basis of the data stating that the petitioners are performing the same duties as being performed by the Vocational Masters in the Department of Education and the qualification for appointment to both the posts is identical is raised, the said claim will be considered in light of those averments and appropriate order will be passed within a period of 8 weeks from the receipt of copy of any such claim and in case, it is found feasible to accept the claim of the petitioners, the same will be accepted, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned for not accepting the claim of the petitioners in the speaking order to be passed and the said order will be duly conveyed to the petitioners.

At this stage, learned Senior counsel for the petitioners submits that even while working in the Technical Education Department, the petitioners have not been granted the benefit of ACP and the petitioners will raise the said claim also in the representation hence, the same be also decided.

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that all the grievances raised in the representation will be considered and appropriate

order will be passed and whatever benefits, the petitioners will be found entitled for, the same will be released to them.

Learned Senior counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to file appropriate comprehensive representation raising all the pleas before the respondents.

Ordered accordingly.

Pending application, if any, also stands disposed of.

19-11-2024
Sapna Goyal

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

NOTE: Whether speaking: YES/NO
 Whether reportable: YES/NO