

2024.PHHC:071570



220.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**IOIN-RSA-2426-2007 IN
RSA-2426-2007 (O&M)**
Date of decision: 20.05.2024

Satnam Singh and others

.... Appellants

Versus

Rajinder Singh and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURBIR SINGH

Present: None.

GURBIR SINGH, J. (Oral)

1. As per office report, appellants No.1 to 3 are not residing at the given address, hence affixation made. No house number was found at the address of appellant No.4, hence affixation made. Fresh notice could not be issued to respondent No.1 for want of correct address. Respondents No.2, 4 and 7 are being represented by their respective counsels, however, there is no representation on their behalf. Respondent Nos.3 and 5 were proceeded against ex-parte vide order dated 12.02.2008. No application for impleading the LR's of respondent No.6 has been moved.

2. The present appeal, which was admitted vide order dated 04.08.2009, is taken on board today itself.

3. In view of above report, the appeal is dismissed for want of prosecution and IOIN stands disposed of accordingly.

4. However, if the appellants want to revive this appeal, they are at liberty to move an application within one month from today.

**(GURBIR SINGH)
JUDGE**

May 20, 2024

sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No