

2024:PHHC:083683



IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

241

CRWP-5626-2024 (O&M)
Date of decision: 08.07.2024

Manish Goyal

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA

Present:- Mr. Lekh Raj Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Neeraj Poswal, AAG, Haryana.

MANISHA BATRA, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of directions to the official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner and his family members at the hands of the private respondents.
2. Upon notice, status report has been filed by the respondent-State, a perusal of which, reveals that prior to filing of the present petition, the petitioner has not moved any representation/complaint before the official respondents. However, after issuance of notice of motion in this case, an enquiry was conducted in the matter by the police. The petitioner was called upon to join the same but he refused to do so. During enquiry, it was found that

2024:PHHC:083683



there is some property dispute between the parties, for which, respondent Nos. 7 and 8 had got registered an FIR bearing No. 914 dated 25.11.2023, under Sections 408 and 420 of IPC against the petitioner and the investigation is underway. During enquiry, the statements of the private respondents were recorded and it was found that there was no threat perception to the petitioner.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner could not dispute the factual position as stated in the status report filed by the respondent-State.

4. In view of the above, no case is made out to issue any direction to the official respondents. Hence, the present petition is dismissed.

08.07.2024

Waseem Ansari

(MANISHA BATRA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No