



medico-legal report, Annexure R-1 on behalf of the State have been taken on record.

4. I have heard the counsel for the parties and perused the paper-book.

5. The first bail application was dismissed as withdrawn vide order dated 17.05.2024 (Annexure P-4). The said order was not on merits. At the time of granting regular bail to the respondent, the respondent was already in custody since 11.02.2024. The investigation was complete, challan was already presented and charges were framed. The conclusion of trial would have taken time. The trial Court has also considered the contentions raised on behalf of the petitioner that there was 5 months delay in lodging the FIR. The medical does not corroborate the allegations of rape. It was also considered that in her statement recorded under Section 164 Cr.P.C., no incident of 21st March, 2023 was narrated.

6. It has also been observed that respondent is father-in-law of the victim.

7. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case and the reasons recorded by the trial Court, no ground for interference is made out in the impugned order passed by the trial Court (Annexure P-5).

8. Consequently, the present petition stands dismissed.

19.11.2024
Janki

(HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No