



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH
(Sr. No. 252)

(1)	CWP-16450-2020 (O&M) Date of Decision : 13.08.2024	
Sandeep Kumar and others		...Petitioners
	Versus	
State of Punjab and others		...Respondents
(2)	CWP-1180-2021(O&M)	
Nirmal Singh and others		...Petitioners
	Versus	
State of Punjab and others		...Respondents
(3)	CWP-29793-2022 (O&M)	
Rohit Sahota and others		...Petitioners
	Versus	
State of Punjab and others		...Respondents
(4)	CWP-7200-2023 (O&M)	
Vikas @ Vikas Bhagria and others		...Petitioners
	Versus	
State of Punjab and others		...Respondents
(5)	CWP-21157-2023 (O&M)	
Ashu Khanna and others		...Petitioners
	Versus	
State of Punjab and others		...Respondents



CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. H.C. Arora, Advocate for the petitioners
in CWP-16450-2020 and CWP-29793-2022.

Mr. Raj Kumar Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners
in CWP-1180-2021.

Mr. Gaurav Gogna, Advocate for the petitioners
in CWP-7200-2023.

Mr. Vipin Mahajan, Advocate for the petitioners
in CWP-21157-2023.

Mr. Swapan Shorey, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. Rakesh Roy, Advocate for respondent No. 2
in CWP-1180-2021.

Mr. Anupam Singla, Advocate
for respondent No. 3 in CWP-16450-2020 and
for respondent No. 2 in CWP-7200-2023.

Ms. Shaveta Sanghi, Advocate and
Mr. Saurabh Sharma, Advocate for respondent No. 2
in CWP-21157-2023.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners submit that the question of law raised in the present petitions was also raised in CWP No. 16932 of 2024 titled as ***Ashish Kumar and others Vs. State of Punjab and others***, decided on 23.07.2024, wherein, the State has undertaken to consider the claim of the petitioners for regularization of their services and to pass appropriate order within a period of eight weeks.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners further submit that the State had undertaken in ***Ashish Kumar and others (supra)*** that in case it is found feasible to grant the relief to the petitioners, the same will be granted otherwise, due reasons will be mentioned for not accepting the claim of the petitioners, which



will be conveyed to the petitioners, hence, the present petitions be also disposed of in terms of *Ashish Kumar and others (supra)*.

3. Learned State counsel raises no objection for the grant of the said relief.

4. Keeping in view the above, the present petitions are disposed of with the direction to the respondent-State to consider the claim of the petitioners for absorption with the Education Department, Punjab and appropriate speaking order be passed on the said claim within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order and in case, it is found feasible to grant the relief, the same be granted and in case it is not found feasible to grant the relief, due reasons for arriving at the said conclusion be mentioned in the speaking order, which reasons be conveyed to the petitioners.

5. It may be noticed that while passing the order, the judgment of this Court in CWP No. 7764 of 2015 titled as *Balbir Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and others*, decided on 04.12.2018 be kept in mind which judgment has already been upheld in LPA No. 706 of 2020 titled as *State of Punjab and others Vs. Balbir Singh and others*, decided on 29.03.2022.

6. Pending miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

7. A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of connected cases.

August 13, 2024
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No