



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

115+234

CWP-16194-2022 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 28.05.2024

Anuj Shukla

...Petitioner

Versus

State Bank of India and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Mr. Karan Bhardwaj, Advocate for the petitioner
Ms. Madhu Dayal, Advocate for the respondents

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsels for the parties are *ad idem* that petitioner has joined transferred place of posting two years back.
2. In the wake of factum that petitioner has already joined transferred place way back, this Court does not find it appropriate to comment upon the impugned transfer order.
3. In the wake of statement of both sides, the present petition stands disposed of.
4. Pending application(s), if any also stand disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

28.05.2024
Mohit Kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No