



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.29928 of 2024
Date of decision: 3rd July, 2024

Yadwinder Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Navraj S. Mahal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Amit Rana, Sr. Dy. Advocate General, Punjab
for the respondent/State.

Mr. Naveen Sharma, Advocate for the complainant.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking the concession of bail under Section 438 Cr.P.C. in case FIR No.0016, dated 16.04.2024, under Section 306 of the IPC registered at Police Station Phool, District Bathinda.

2. On the last date of hearing, i.e. 14.06.2024, while noticing the following submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner, who is brother-in-law of sister of the complainant, a Coordinate Bench of this Court had granted the concession of interim bail to the petitioner and asked him to join investigation.

“What surfaced on perusal of the instant FIR, is that one Naib Singh (father of the complainant), who has committed suicide by consuming poisonous substance, and



thereupon, the complainant Rajwinder Singh, registered the instant FIR with the allegations that, his sister Sarabjit Kaur solemnised marriage with one Harmeet Singh on 18.02.2006. However, due to the harassment caused to Sarabjit Kaur (sister of the complainant), by her husband and his other relatives, both of them decided to live apart, and since the year 2011, the sister of the complainant started living at her parental home. A compromise was effected between the parties and in view of the compromise, the dowry articles were returned, and an agreement was also executed for the purpose of discharging the liability of maintenance, and some piece of land was also given to Sarabjit Kaur (sister of the complainant), alongwith some agriculture equipment.

It is further alleged that the present petitioner alongwith his other relatives, started harassing the sister of the complainant, and repossessed the land which was given to sister of complainant as per the agreement, and because of such harassment, the father of the complainant committed suicide.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, at the very outset, placed reliance upon an order dated 06.06.2024, passed by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court in CRM-M-29490-2024, whereby, the father-in-law of Sarabjit Kaur, has been extended the relief of pre-arrest bail, and submits that he is on better footing, as he is the father of the present petitioner, who has no influence upon the matrimonial life of Sarabjit Kaur, and her husband Harmeet Singh.”



3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of the order dated 14.06.2024, the petitioner has joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Manjinder Singh, does not dispute the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. He, on further instructions submits that the petitioner is not required for further investigation much less for his custodial interrogation.

5. In the circumstances, the petition is allowed and the interim order dated 14.06.2024 is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. Needless to say, in case the petitioner misuses the concession of bail granted to him, the State would be at liberty to seek cancellation of the bail granted to him.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

July 3, 2024
rps

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No