

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

224

CWP-19227 of 2013

Date of Decision: 15.01.2024

N.M.C., National Manufacturing Company

.... Petitioner

Versus

Sant Ram and others

....Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: None for the petitioner.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J.(Oral)

1. The present writ petition has been filed for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari quashing the order dated 12.04.2013 (Annexure P-3) passed by learned Tribunal, whereby application filed under Section 33 C (2) of the Industrial Disputes Act, was partly allowed.

2. Present writ petition is pending since the year 2013. In the order dated 18.05.2022, the factum of death of learned counsel for the petitioner was recorded and thereupon, intimation regarding pendency of the writ petition was ordered to be forwarded to the petitioner-company to enable it to engage some other counsel.

3. In the order dated 22.08.2023, the factum has been recorded that one Ajay Kumar stating himself subordinate of Pardeep Kumar (Proprietor of petitioner) informed that the said Pardeep

Kumar has gone out of city and he refused to accept the notice.
Thereafter, again fresh notice to the petitioner was issued.

4. In the order dated 16.10.2023, it is recorded that notice upon the petitioner-company stands served through its proprietor-Mr. Pardeep Kumar, however, none appeared on its behalf.

5. Today agains, there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner. It seems that petitioner is not interested in pursuing the present writ petition, therefore, present petition is dismissed for want of prosecution.

Let copy of this order be forwarded to the petitioner for information and in case, cause of action still survives, it would be open for the petitioner to seek revival of the present writ petition within eight weeks from today.

January 15, 2024
rashmi

[SANJAY VASHISTH]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable?

yes/no
yes/no