


2024:PHHC:118592



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.206

CRM-M-30084-2024 (O&M)
Date of decision : 10.09.2024

Rashmi Sharma Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab Respondent


CORAM: HON’BLE MS. JUSTICE KIRTI SINGH

Present: Mr. J.S. Moudgill, , Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Vinay Kumar, DAG, Punjab.
Mr. S.K. Bawa, Advocate, for the complainant.

KIRTI SINGH, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C., is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, in case FIR No.53 dated 27.05.2024, under Section 306 IPC, registered at Police Station City Dhuri, District Sangrur.
2. The petitioner is wife of the deceased and it is alleged that the petitioner and her parents were harassing the deceased due to which he ended his life. It is also stated that the marriage of the petitioner was solemnized with Rohit Sharma on 14.01.2023 and the alleged incident took place on 25.04.2024 and on that day, the petitioner was at her parental house. It is further stated that the petitioner has delivered a baby (girl) on 08.09.2024.
3. Status report and reply has been filed by the learned State counsel in Court today and the same is taken on record.

2024:PHHC:118592



4. Learned State counsel on instructions from the Investigating Officer of the concerned Police Station submits that in compliance of order dated 18.06.2024, the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for any further investigation.

5. Learned counsel for the complainant submits that in view of the serious allegations against the petitioner, she is not entitled to the concession of anticipatory bail.

6. Having considered the aforesaid facts and circumstances, the petition is allowed. Order dated 18.06.2024 passed by this Court, is hereby made absolute.

7. This order should not be treated as "blanket" order. It will not be read granting the petitioner(s) indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.


8. This order does not in any manner limit or restrict the rights or duties of the police or investigating agency to investigate into the charges against the petitioner(s).

9. The accused-petitioner(s) shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him or her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police office.

10. The accused-petitioner(s) shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court.

11. The accused-petitioner(s) shall join the investigation as and when called by the police.

2024:PHHC:118592



12. It will be open to the police or the investigating agency to move to this Court for a direction under Section 439(2) Cr.P.C. to arrest the accused-petitioner(s), in the event of violation of any term, such as absconding, non-cooperating during investigation, evasion, intimidation or inducement to witnesses with a view to influence outcome of the investigation or trial.

Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, also stands disposed of.

(KIRTI SINGH)
JUDGE

10.09.2024
Ramandeep Singh

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No