

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.16319 of 2020(O&M)

Date of Decision: 30.01.2024

Nokia India Private Limited

.....Petitioner

Vs

Union of India and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S. SANDHAWALIA*
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE LAPITA BANERJI

Present: Mr. Deepak Thackur, Advocate and
Mr. Rishab Singla, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sourabh Goel, Sr. Standing Counsel with
Ms. Geetika Sharma, Advocate
for the respondents.

G.S.SANDHAWALIA, J.(Oral)

[1]. Petitioner seeks issuance of direction to respondent to grant the refund for the period November 2009 to March 2010. The relief is also claimed to process the remaining two refund claims dated 07.03.2011 and 04.07.2011 for the period September 2010 to October 2010 and December 2010 to January 2011. Similarly for the fourth period from February 2012 to March 2012, the rebate claim dated 30.06.2012 is also sought.

[2]. In the reply filed today, averment is that no record pertaining to the refund application is available in the record. A meeting had been held and it was mutually decided to call for fresh authenticated copies of alleged refund claims.

[3]. It has also been brought to our notice that similar order was passed on 19.09.2022 in CWP No.16151 of 2020 for the period from 01.04.2009 to 30.06.2009 and statement was accepted that in case, all the

requisite documents as demanded are furnished by the petitioner-Company, the matter would be expedited and the refund would be processed and granted in accordance with law within a period of three months from the date of submission of such documents.

[4]. Keeping in view the above, we dispose of the present writ petition in same terms as passed in CWP No.16151 of 2020 with liberty to the petitioner to file appropriate documents to substantiate his claim binding the respondents to their stand taken in the written statement.

(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)
JUDGE

(LAPITA BANERJI)
JUDGE

30.01.2024
Prince
Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No
Whether reportable Yes/No