



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Date of decision: 13.06.2024

.....Petitioners

State of Haryana and ors.

.....Respondents

Present: Mr. Raman Kumar, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Vipul Sherwal, AAG Harvana.

DEEPAK MANCHANDA J. (ORAL

1. The petitioners have approached this Court under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking directions to respondent Nos. 2 and 3 for protection of their lives and personal liberty from respondent Nos.4 to 8 and restrain them from interfering in the live-in-relationship.

2. The contention of learned counsel for the petitioners is that both the petitioners are major. In support thereof, copies of Aadhaar Cards pertaining to the petitioners have been appended. Learned counsel for the petitioners further contends that the petitioners are in live-in-relationship, however respondent Nos.4 to 8 i.e. the family members of the petitioner No.1 are against the relationship of the petitioners.

3. After going through the contents of the representation dated 11.06.2024 (Annexure P-3), the same seems to be vague and half-baked, wherein no specific details have been mentioned.

4. Faced with the situation, learned counsel for the petitioners submits



CRWP-5595-2024

-2-

be decided within a time bound manner by looking into the grievance of the petitioners.

5. Therefore, without examining the question of legality and validity of the live-in relationship and expressing any opinion thereon, the petition is disposed of with the directions to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Karnal District Karnal to look into the grievance of the petitioners as set out in the petition and the same be treated as representation and take appropriate action for protection of their lives and liberty as may be warranted by the circumstances in accordance with law.

6. The petition is disposed of.

(DEEPAK MANCHANDA)
JUDGE

13.06.2024
sapna goyal

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No