



CRWP-5617-2024

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRWP-5617-2024
Date of decision : 18.06.2024

Devender Kumar Varagi @ Devendra Das Vairagi

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Dr.Sumati Jund, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr.N.S. Diwana, Sr.DAG, Punjab.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. This is a criminal writ petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for grant of six weeks emergency parole to the petitioner in accordance with his entitlement under Section 3(1)(aa) of the Punjab Good Conduct Prisoners (Temporary Release) Act 1962 and Amendment Act, 2015.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner had submitted an application dated 22.05.2024 for emergency parole but the petitioner does not have a copy of the same and has submitted that the petitioner would submit a fresh application to the competent authority for emergency parole within a period of five days from today and has prayed that in case the petitioner moves an application, the competent

authority of the respondents be directed to consider the same in a time



CRWP-5617-2024

2

bound manner.

3. Learned State counsel has submitted that in case any such application is filed, the same would be considered by the competent authority of the respondents and final order would be passed on the same within a period of two weeks from the date of the receipt of the said application.

4. Keeping in view the above said facts and circumstances, the present petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to move an application for emergency parole to the competent authority of the respondents within a period of five days from today and on his doing so, the competent authority of the respondents would consider and decide the said application, in accordance with law, within a period of two weeks from the date of the receipt of the said application and in case, after considering the same, the pleas of the petitioner are found to be meritorious, then necessary relief be granted to the petitioner and in case, the pleas of the petitioner are not found to be meritorious, then a speaking order rejecting the same be passed within a period of two weeks from the date of the receipt of the said application.

5. It is made clear that this Court has not opined on the merits of the case and the competent authority of the respondents would consider and decide the application independently, in accordance with law.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

June 18, 2024
Davinder Kumar

2024:PHHC:080210



CRWP-5617-2024

3

Whether reportable

Yes/No