



CRM-M-30067-2024 (O&M) -1-

209

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-30067-2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision:- 09.08.2024

Raj Rani
Vs.
State of Haryana
....Petitioner
...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present:- Mr. Tushar Gautam, Advocate
for the petitioner.
Mr. Kanwar Sanjiv Kumar, AAG, Haryana.

AMARJOT BHATTI, J.

CRM-25917-2024

This is an application filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for permission to place on record Jamabandi as Annexure P-3 and also exemption from filing certified copy as well as true fair typed copy of same.

For the reasons enumerated in application, same is allowed. Accompanied document Annexure P-3 is taken on record, subject to just exceptions and exemption from filing certified copy as well as true fair typed copy of same is also allowed, subject to just exceptions.

CRM is accordingly disposed of.



CRM-M-30067-2024 (O&M) -2-

CRM-M-30067-2024

Petitioner Raj Rani has filed anticipatory bail petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. in case FIR No. 623 dated 10.11.2023 (Annexure P/1) under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 193 and 177 of Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Ambala City, District Ambala, Haryana.

2. Facts of case are one letter No. 413 dated 08.11.2023 was received from Reader of the Court of learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Ambala that case titled "State Versus Satnam Singh etc." was pending in the Court of Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Ambala in which Raj Rani wife of Sh. Balbir Dev r/o Village Duliani, District Ambala stood as surety for accused Harsh Kumar @ Laddu and furnished surety bonds for a sum of ₹60,000/- and annexed sale deed No. 1367 dated 25.01.2007 along with her affidavit. On 04.11.2023, Rajinder Kumar, Patwari Halqa, Duliana appeared in Court and gave his statement that property referred in aforesaid sale deed dated 25.01.2007 was already sold in different parts and after 31.12.2008, she (Raj Rani) was not owner of any part of land. Therefore, Raj Rani furnished fake surety on the basis of forged document and also filed wrong affidavit dated 06.07.2021. With these allegations, present FIR has been registered.

3. Learned counsel for petitioner argued that petitioner is an old lady and she was illiterate. At the time of furnishing surety for Harsh Kumar @ Laddu, she inadvertently produced wrong sale deed regarding property which was already sold. There was no intention to cheat. She is ready to join investigation. No recovery is to be effected from her.

Therefore, her anticipatory bail petition may be allowed.



CRM-M-30067-2024 (O&M) -3-

4. Bail petition is opposed by learned counsel representing State. Detailed status report has been filed which is taken on record. It is argued that present petitioner had furnished fake document regarding property, which was already sold, by way of surety. Original sale deed is yet to be recovered from petitioner. Therefore, custodial interrogation is required.

5. I have considered the arguments and have gone through the record. It cannot be ignored that present petitioner stood as a surety for accused by producing title deed regarding property which was already sold. This fact was verified by Patwari Halqa, Duliana, as a result, FIR was registered on the complaint of Court concerned. Learned counsel representing State pointed out that original of said sale deed which is in possession of petitioner is yet to be recovered. Considering the gravity of offence and to complete the investigation, it is not a fit case for grant of anticipatory bail to petitioner Raj Rani and accordingly, her anticipatory bail petition is dismissed.

6. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stand disposed of accordingly as well.

09.08.2024
lalit

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No