



116

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-5639-2024

Date of decision: 14.06.2024

Anu Kaur

.....Petitioner

V/s

State of Punjab and ors.

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK MANCHANDA

Present: Mr. Pankaj Bains, Advocate
for the petitioner.

DEEPAK MANCHANDA J. (ORAL

1. The petitioner has approached this Court under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for seeking issuance of directions to respondent Nos. 1 to 3 for protecting her life and personal liberty from respondent Nos.4 to 14.
2. The contention of learned counsel for the petitioner is that she is wife of respondent No.4. Their marriage was solemnised on 20.02.2010 and out of the said wedlock, two children were born, who are presently in the custody of respondent No.4. Learned counsel for the petitioner further contends that respondent No.4 is having illicit relations with some women, and he had been delivering her to his known/customers just to earn hundreds of rupees in a day, prior to the marriage itself. When the petitioner tried to make her husband understand that they have children and this such bad act can ruin their lives, upon which respondent No.4 started displaying his true colour stating they it's his wish and if she interferes in his daily things again he will teach her a lesson and after six

**CRWP-5639-2024****-2-**

months of birth of his minor daughter named Seerat, respondent No.4 started to force the petitioner to join his friend/known (customers) by saying that he has taken lots of money from various people/friend and he has no other source to return it to those persons and only the petitioner is able to help him to get out of this situation or otherwise he shall have no other option except to commit suicide. After some time, the in laws of the petitioner had died and respondent No.4 used to beat the petitioner on refusing being physical with other persons. The respondent No.4 also even tried to kill the petitioner many times in his house and tried to rescue but no one helped her rather other respondent Nos.5 to 14 extended threats that if the petitioner tries to go from her matrimonial house, then they will finish her life. Ultimately, when the respondent No.4 was in bathroom bathing therein, the petitioner succeeded in coming out of her matrimonial house. After leaving the house, the petitioner started living on rental accommodation.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that a representation dated 09.06.2024 (Annexure P-1) has also been sent by the petitioner to the respondent No.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, Sangrur, SSP Office, Police Lines, District Sangrur but the same has not been decided till date and he would be satisfied if the said representation is ordered to be decided in a time bound manner.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Amit Kumar Goyal, Addl. A.G., Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents Nos.1 to 3 and has no objection if appropriate directions are given for deciding the representation submitted by the petitioner.



CRWP-5639-2024

-3-

5. The prayer of the petitioner is allowed and respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Sangur, SSP Office, Police Lines, District Sangur is directed to look into the grievance of the petitioner as set out in the petition and also expressed in the representation (Annexure P-1) and take appropriate action for protection of her life and liberty as may be warranted by the circumstances in accordance with law.

6. The Registry is directed to send a copy of this order along with copy of the petition to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Sangur, SSP Office, Police Lines, District Sangur for requisite compliance.

7. The petition is disposed of.

(DEEPAK MANCHANDA)
JUDGE

14.06.2024
sapna goyal

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No