

2024:PHHC:080072



115

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-5616-2024

Date of decision: 14.06.2024

Kiran and anr.

.....Petitioners

V/s

State of Punjab and ors.

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK MANCHANDA

Present: Mr. Mohan Singh Rana, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Amit Kumar Goyal, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

DEEPAK MANCHANDA J. (ORAL

1. The petitioners have approached this Court under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking directions to respondent Nos. 2 and 3 for protection of their lives and personal liberty from respondent Nos.4 to 7.

2. The contention of learned counsel for the petitioners is that both the petitioners are major. In support thereof, copies of Aadhaar Cards pertaining to the petitioners have been appended. The marriage of the petitioners was solemnized on 31.05.2024. Copy of Marriage Certificate (Annexure P-3) and copy of photographs of marriage (Annexure P-4) have also been appended. The learned counsel for petitioners further contends that they have performed marriage against the wishes of their parents. The petitioners apprehend threat to their lives and liberty and claim that there is a constant danger of being implicated in a false case. Learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that a representation dated 10.06.2024 (Annexure P-5) has also been sent by the petitioners to the respondent No. 2-Senior Superintendent of Police Sangrur, District Sangrur, Punjab but the same has not



CRWP-5616-2024

-2-

been decided till date and petitioners would be satisfied if the said representation is ordered to be decided in a time bound manner.

3. After going through the contents of the representation, the same seems to be vague and half baked, wherein no specific details have been mentioned.

4. Faced with the situation, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that present writ petition be treated as representation and the same be ordered to be decided within a time bound manner by looking into the grievance of the petitioners.

5. Therefore, without examining the question of legality and validity of the marriage and expressing any opinion thereon, the petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2- Senior Superintendent of Police Sangrur, District Sangrur, Punjab, to look into the grievance of the petitioners as set out in the petition and the same be treated as representation and take appropriate action for protection of their lives and liberty as may be warranted by the circumstances in accordance with law.

6. The petition is disposed of.

(DEEPAK MANCHANDA)
JUDGE

14.06.2024
sapna goyal

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No