



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.29880 of 2024
Date of decision: 18th July, 2024

Lovepreet Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Jugraj S. Waraich, Advocate for
Mr. Mandeep Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Navdeep Singh, Dy. Advocate General, Punjab
for the respondent/State.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking the concession of bail under Section 438 Cr.P.C. in case FIR No.79 dated 29.03.2022 under Sections 307, 323, 324, 341, 458, 506, 427, 148 and 149 of IPC, 1860 registered at Police Station City Samana, District Patiala.

2. On the last date of hearing, i.e. 18.06.2024, while noticing the following submissions made by learned State counsel, this Court had granted the concession of interim bail to the petitioner and asked him to join investigation.

“Learned State counsel submits that Lovepreet Singh and Gopi is one and same person and as per the investigation injuries on the person of the victim were found to be simple in nature.”



3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of the order dated 18.06.2024, the petitioner has joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.

4. Learned State counsel has filed status report by way of an affidavit of Sukhdev Singh, PPS, DSP(Hq), Patiala today in the Court, which is taken on record subject to all just exceptions and a copy thereof supplied to learned counsel opposite. He, on instructions, has not disputed that the injuries attributed to the petitioner were declared to be simple in nature. It has also not been disputed by the learned State counsel that the petitioner, in compliance of the order dated 18.06.2024, has joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. He on further instructions submits that the petitioner is not required for further investigation much less for his custodial interrogation.

5. In the circumstances, the petition is allowed and the interim order dated 18.06.2024 is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. Needless to say, in case the petitioner misuses the concession of bail granted to him, the State would be at liberty to seek cancellation of the bail granted to him.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

July 18, 2024
rps

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No