



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

120

CRWP-5571-2024

Date of decision: 13.06.2024

SANDHYA AND ANOTHER

.....Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present:- Mr. Shivam Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Wazir Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Vishnav Gandhi, DAG, Punjab.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

The petitioners namely Sandhya and Prem Kumar have approached this Court under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for seeking protection of their lives and personal liberty from private respondents No.4 to 6.

The contention of the petitioners is that both are major, aged 24 years and 30 years respectively. In support thereof, copy of the Aadhaar Cards pertaining to the petitioners have been appended. The petitioners further contend that they have performed marriage against the wishes of their parents. The marriage of the petitioners was solemnized on 11.06.2024 at Prachin Pashupati Nath Shiv Mandir, M.D.C., Sector-6, Panchkula as per Hindu rites and ceremonies. A copy of marriage

**CRWP-5571-2024****-2-**

certificate (Annexure P-3) issued by the aforesaid Mandir has also been appended. The petitioners apprehend threat to their lives and claim that there is a constant danger of being implicated in a false case.

Notice of motion restricted to respondents No.1 to 3 only.

Pursuant to supply of advance copy, Mr. Vishnav Gandhi, DAG, Punjab appears and accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that a representation dated 11.06.2024 (Annexure P-5) has also been submitted by the petitioners to the respondent No.2- Superintendent of Police, District Fazilka.

Learned State counsel has no objection if appropriate direction for providing requisite protection to the petitioners is given.

Without examining the question of legality and validity of the marriage and expressing any opinion thereon, the petition is disposed of with the directions to respondent No.2- Superintendent of Police, District Fazilka to look into the grievances of the petitioners as set out in the petition and also expressed in the representation (Annexure P-5) and take appropriate action for protection of their lives and liberty as may be warranted by the circumstances.

However, it is clarified that in case any criminal case has been/is registered against the petitioners nothing in this order shall be construed as a bar for taking appropriate action by the police authorities



CRWP-5571-2024

-3-

The Registry is directed to send a copy of this order along with copy of the petition and above-said representation to respondent No.2- Superintendent of Police, District Fazilka for requisite compliance.

The petition is disposed of.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

JUNE 13, 2024
Vishal sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No