



114 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-5614-2024
Date of decision: 14.06.2024

Anjali Sharma and anotherPetitioners

V/s

State of Punjab and others
...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK MANCHANDA

Present: Ms. Hasan Kaur, Advocate
for the petitioners.
(through video conferencing)

Mr. Amit Kumar Goyal, Addl. AG Punjab

DEEPAK MANCHANDA J. (ORAL)

1. The instant petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus, directing the respondents No.2 and 3 not to harass the petitioners at the instance of respondents No.4 to 7 and protect the life and liberty of the petitioners and the family members of petitioner No.2 from the hands of respondents No.4 to 7.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that both the petitioners are major. In support thereof, copies of Aadhar Cards pertaining to the petitioners have been appended. The learned counsel for petitioners further contends that they have performed marriage against the wishes of their parents on 11.06.2024. Copy of Marriage Certificate (Annexure P-3) and copy of photographs of marriage (Annexure P-4) have also been appended. He further contends that the petitioners apprehend threat to their lives and liberty and claim that there is a



constant danger of being implicated in a false case and even a representation dated 11.06.2024 (Annexure P-5) has been sent by the petitioners to the respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, District Rupnagar but the same has not been decided till date and the petitioners would be satisfied if the said representation is ordered to be decided in a time bound manner.

3. After going through the contents of the representation dated 11.06.2024 (Annexure P-5), the same seems to be vague and half-baked, wherein no specific details have been mentioned.

4. Faced with this situation, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the present petition be treated as representation and the same be ordered to be decided within a time bound manner by looking into the grievance of the petitioners.

5. Therefore, without examining the question of legality and validity of the marriage and expressing any opinion thereon, the petition is disposed of with the directions to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, District Rupnagar to look into the grievance of the petitioners as set out in the petition and the same be treated as representation and take appropriate action for protection of their lives and liberty as may be warranted by the circumstances in accordance with law.

14.06.2024
Sapna

(DEEPAK MANCHANDA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No