

2024:PHHC:080077



108

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-29985-2024
DATE OF DECISION: 14.06.2024**

NARESH

...PETITIONER

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

... RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. Sarun Hans, Advocate for the petitioner(s).
Mr. S.S. Pannu, Addl. A.G, Haryana.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

1. The jurisdiction of this Court has been invoked under Section 438 of Cr.P.C., for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.50 dated 03.03.2024 registered under Sections 406/420 IPC at Police Station Hansi, Sadar.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits the petitioner is not a beneficiary of the transaction if any has taken place between the complainant and Hardeep Singh to the tune of Rs. 23 lakhs, out of which the payment of the sum of Rs. 20 lakhs was made by Rajesh -the brother of the complainant. He further asserts that the statement of complainant is highly improbable and the petitioner's role as per narration in the FIR itself is enough to reflect that he has not received any money from the complainant and only has introduced to the main accused being well-known and acquainted with him.



3. Notice of motion.

4. On the asking of Court, learned State Counsel appearing on advance notice, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State and on instructions submits that the petitioner is a relative of Hardeep Singh-main accused and he has introduced the complainant with him but is also no averse to the argument that no money out of the said amount has been received by the petitioner and as such his custodial interrogation would not be required.

5. After having heard learned counsel for the parties and specific stand of learned State Counsel, this Court finds no reason to deny the petitioner the concession of bail if the petitioner has bona fide intentions and is willing to join the investigation and cooperate for furtherance of the same so that the final report can be submitted by the Investigating Agency in time.

6. Hence, in view of the admitted set of circumstances before this Court, the petitioner is directed to be released on anticipatory bail subject to his joining investigation and reporting to the Investigating Officer concerned within a period of one week from today, on furnishing of personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the terms and conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) of Cr.P.C.

7. However, it is made clear that in case the petitioner does not comply with the aforesaid direction of joining the investigation

2024:PHHC:080077



CRM-M-29985-2024

-3-

within one week, the order passed by this Court today shall automatically stands cancelled.

8. The petition in the aforesaid terms stands disposed off.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

14.06.2024
anuradha

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>