

CRM-M-30929-2023
Date of Decision : 09-04-2024

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN

Present:- Mr. Deepak Aggarwal, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. J.S. Arora, DAG Punjab.

Mr. Nirmaljeet Singh Sidhu, Advocate
For respondent No.4.

PANKAJ JAIN, J. (Oral)

1. Status report has been filed. The stand taken by the State reads as under:-

“8. That in the case the respondent No.4 gave an application to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Bathinda regarding the issuance of fake insurance policy and this application was marked to Superintendent of Police (H). During the enquiry of this application both the parties were called and their statements were recorded. From the statements of both the parties, the said application was consigned to be filed in record

room with comments that the MACT case is pending in the Court and the applicant can plead his case in the Court.

9. *That the answering respondents have no role in it. The answering respondents called both the parties to record the statements. There is no harassment to the petitioners by the respondent No.3.*

10. *That there is no threat to the life and liberty of the petitioners by the police in any manner. The police are doing its duty with sincerity.”*

- 2. In view of the above, no further order is warranted.
- 3. Disposed off accordingly.

09-04-2024
spn

(PANKAJ JAIN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes
Whether Reportable : No