



CRM-M-29909-2024

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

210-2

CRM-M-29909-2024

Date of Decision : July 29, 2024

KULVIR SINGH

-PETITIONER

V/S

STATE OF PUNJAB

-RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. Abhinav Jain, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sahil R. Bakshi, A.A.G., Punjab.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (ORAL)

1. On 14.06.2024, this Court had passed the hereinafter extracted order, upon the instant petition:-

“Through the instant petition, as instituted under Section 438 of the Cr.P.C., the petitioner has sought the concession of anticipatory bail, in case FIR No.15, dated 26.01.2024, under Section 420 of the IPC, registered at Police Station City Muktsar, Sri Muktsar Sahib.

By placing reliance upon an order dated 20.05.2024 passed in CRM-M-25523-2023, vide which the wife of the present petitioner, has been extended the relief of pre-arrest bail by this Court, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the present petitioner is on equal footing, therefore, he deserves the same relief.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Akshay Kumar, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State of Punjab.

Interim order in the same terms as in CRM-M-25523-2023, and to be heard alongwith that, on 29.07.2024.”

2. Mr. Deepak Gupta, Advocate, who records his appearance on



CRM-M-29909-2024

2

behalf of the complainant, under a validly executed Vakalatnama instituted before this Court today, has vociferously opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

3. Today, the learned State counsel has, on instructions imparted to him by the official concerned, stated that pursuant to the making of the hereinabove extracted order, the petitioner had joined investigation and he is no longer required for custodial interrogation.

3. In view of the above, the hereinabove extracted interim order dated 14.06.2024, as made by this Court, is hereby made **absolute**, subject to the hereinafter extracted conditions:-

“(i) the petitioner shall not commit an offence similar to the present offence;

(ii) the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case;

(iii) the petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required.”

4. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

5. Needless to say that anything observed hereinabove shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

July 29, 2024
devinder

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No