

201 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-32694-2022
Date of decision: 8th April, 2024

Ravidass Singh @ Ravi

Versus

State of Punjab

...Petitioner(s)

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA

Present: Mr. A.S. Manaise, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. A.S. Samra, AAG, Punjab.

MANISHA BATRA, J (ORAL):-

Through the instant petition under Section 438 of Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR No.53 dated 14.06.2022 registered under Sections 354-A, 354-D and 506 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station Sekhawan, Police District Batala, District Gurdaspur.

As submitted by learned State counsel, the petitioner has joined the investigation which has since been completed. Charges have been framed against the petitioner and now the matter is fixed for recording evidence of prosecution before the Juvenile Justice Board.

Since the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not at all required at this stage and as inquiry/investigation already stands completed and the case is at the stage of recording prosecution evidence, therefore, no useful purpose would be served by passing any order for detaining him. As

such, the petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be admitted to anticipatory bail, subject to his appearing before the learned trial Court and furnishing bonds to its satisfaction on or before the next date of hearing and subject to conditions laid down in Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

[MANISHA BATRA]
JUDGE

8th April, 2024
Parveen Sharma

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned

:

Yes / No
2. Whether reportable

:

Yes / No