

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

221

CWP-15765-2015
Date of Decision: 20.02.2024

HARMESH SINGH

.... Petitioner

Versus

THE DIRECTOR CHAIRMAN OF PEC UNIVERSITY OF
TECHNOLOGY SECTOR 12 CHANDIGARH AND ORS
....Respondent

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Ankush Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ravi Sharma, Advocate for the respondents.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J.(Oral)

1. Present writ petition has been filed by the petitioner seeking issuance of directions to the respondents for considering his case for compassionate appointment on any suitable post on account of the fact that Sh. Ghunnu Ram, father of the petitioner expired on 22.05.2010, while he was in service.

2. Sh. Ghunnu Ram was appointed as Workshop Coolie in the PEC University on 09.02.1980, and expired after serving for about 30 years. Present writ petition has been filed in the year 2015.

On examining the written statement and documents dated 25.06.2013 and 28.01.2015 appended with it, a fact is acknowledged that a meeting was convened on 25.06.2013 in the office of Registrar,

PEC University and name of the petitioner was also considered. However, out of the total four candidates including the petitioner, two of the similarly situated applicants were appointed on the basis of the compassionate grounds.

3. On 19.11.2018, the following order was passed by the co-ordinate Bench of this Court:

‘ Present: Mr. Naveen Sheokan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ravi Sharma, Advocate for the respondents.

The father of the petitioner died on 22.05.2010 and thereafter, the petitioner filed an application within a period of three years for suitable job on compassionate grounds.

On notice of the petition, a reply has been filed by the respondents stating therein that the petitioner was interviewed on 04.04.2013 for appointment to the post of Frash but since petitioner was not found suitable for the above post, Ms. Sukhwinder Kaur (widow) was appointed as Frash being suitable. The case of the petitioner was duly considered in view of the Rules and Schemes for compassionate appointment where by the ceiling of 5% vacancies for making compassionate appointment has been considered for group C and D posts.

List on 15.03.2019 to enable the learned counsel for the respondents to find out whether the case of the petitioner for job on compassionate grounds will be considered in the near future.’

4. Again on 17.01.2020, counsel for the petitioner sought time to amend the writ petition in the light of the new material placed on record alongwith the written statement. Till date, no such step has been taken by the petitioner regarding the amendment of the writ petition.
5. Today again, Mr. Ankush Sharma, Advocate appearing today and representing the petitioner seeks withdrawal of the present writ petition, with liberty to file a fresh one with all the relevant particulars.
6. Dismissed as withdrawn, with the liberty as sought and recorded hereabove.
7. However, it will be open for the respondents to raise the objection regarding delay etc.

February 20, 2024
rashmi

[SANJAY VASHISTH]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable?

yes/no
yes/no