

IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH

235

CWP-16316-2020
Date of Decision: 21.02.2024

TEHAL SINGH

... Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ.

Present: Mr. Sukhdeep Singh, Advocate for
Mr. A.D.S. Sukhija, Advocate for the petitioner.
Ms. Niharika Sharma, AAG, Punjab.
Mr. G.S. Hundal, Advocate for respondent No.4.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in the present petition is for directing the respondents No.1 to 3 to act in accordance with their duties and to take action on the representations (Annexures P-4, P-7 and P-8) submitted by the petitioners and other villagers.

Learned State Counsel submits, on instructions, that the matter has already been resolved amicably between the petitioner and contesting parties on 09.12.2023.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, however, pleads ignorance.

In view of the specific statement made by the learned State Counsel qua compromise and without commenting any further on the merits of the case, the present petition is hereby disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

Liberty is, however, granted to the petitioner to seek revival of the present petition in case the statement made above by the learned State Counsel is found to be factually incorrect/false.

FEBRUARY 21, 2024
rajender

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No