



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

102

CRM-M-29798-2024

Date of Decision : August 30, 2024

BANT SINGH

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Mr. L.S.Sidhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pardeep Bajaj, DAG, Punjab.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (Oral)

1. Short reply dated 28.8.2024 by way of an affidavit of Ishan Singla, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Talwandi Sabo, Bathinda has been filed today in the Court by the learned State counsel, the same is taken on record.
2. On 13.6.2024, this Court had passed the hereinafter extracted order, upon the instant petition:-

“1. Through the instant petition, as instituted under Section 438 of the Cr.P.C., the petitioner seeks the concession of anticipatory bail, in FIR No.66 dated 11.05.2024, under Sections 458, 427, 323, 506, 148, 149 of the IPC, registered at P.S. Talwandi Sabo, District Bathinda.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that, although the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present FIR and no specific role has been attributed therein to him, however, even if the allegations are taken to be a gospel truth, yet the injury(ies) suffered by the complainant has been declared "simple in nature". Lastly, he submits that the petitioner has clean antecedents and he is ready to join the investigation and to cooperate with the investigating officer.

3. Notice of motion for 01.08.2024.

4. Mr. Akshay Kumar, A.A.G., Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State of Punjab.

5. In the meantime, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation and to appear before the investigating agency, as and when called upon to do so. In the event of his arrest, he shall be admitted to interim bail on his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall abide by the terms and conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C."

3. Today, the learned State counsel has, on instructions imparted to him by ASI Gamdoor Singh stated that pursuant to the making of the hereinabove extracted order, the petitioner(s) had joined investigation and he is no longer required for further custodial interrogation.

4. In view of the above, the hereinabove extracted interim order dated 13.6.2024, is hereby made **absolute**, subject to the hereinafter extracted conditions:-

“(i) the petitioner(s) shall not commit an offence similar to the present offence;

(ii) the petitioner(s) shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case;

(iii) the petitioner(s) shall make himself/herself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required.”

5. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner(s) indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.
6. Needless to say that anything observed hereinabove shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

August 30, 2024
ajay-1

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No
Whether Reportable. : Yes/No