



203

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-29842-2024 (O&M)
Date of decision: 09.08.2024

Baldev Kumar

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Ravi Malik, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Geeta Sharma, DAG, Haryana.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)

1. This petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. seeking anticipatory bail in case bearing FIR No.145 dated 23.04.2024 under Sections 323, 406, 498-A, 506 of IPC (Section 494 of IPC added later on), registered at Police Station Ambala Cantt., District Ambala.

2. On 13.06.2024, the following order was passed:-

“Petition has been filed under Section 438 of Cr.P.C., for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.145 dated 23.04.2024 registered under Sections 323, 406, 498-A, 506 of IPC (Section 494 IPC added later on) at Police Station



Ambala Cantt. District Ambala, Haryana (Annexure P-1).

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case where the complainant-wife has made bald allegations of harassment against the petitioner who is the husband. He further submits that the bail application filed before the trial Court has been rejected vide order dated 05.06.2024 only on the ground that recovery of dowry articles is pending from the petitioner, whereas nothing is to be recovered. Hence, custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required and he is ready to join investigation.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Ashok S. Chaudhry, AAG Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State.

Adjourned to 09.08.2024.

Meanwhile, in the event of arrest, the petitioner is ordered to be released on interim bail on his furnishing personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, the petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C”

3. Learned State counsel, on instructions from Inspector Satish Kumar, at the very outset, informs the Court that the petitioner has joined the investigation and his custodial interrogation is not required.

4. In view of the statement of learned State counsel, order dated 13.06.2024 is hereby made absolute. The petitioner shall abide by the terms



and conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

5. The petition stands disposed of.

09.08.2024
vishnu

[HARPREET SINGH BRAR]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No